



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,393	01/31/2001	Anoop Gupta	418268854US	7988
	45979 7590 01/25/2007 PERKINS COIE LLP/MSFT		EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 1247			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTH	IS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/775,393	GUPTA, ANOOP				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin E. Shepard	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	ugust 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-75</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-75</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	- -					
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	·				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/8/2003</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morrison.

Referring to claim 16, Morrison discloses a method comprising: receiving meta data corresponding to a television program (figure 6, box 605); identifying one or more portions of the television program in response to user inputs (column 3, lines 25-30); and rendering the identified one or more portions of the television program (column 1, lines 44-48).

Referring to claim 17, Morrison discloses a method as recited in claim 16, wherein the identifying comprises locating a next occurrence of user input search criteria in the meta data and determining a location of the television program corresponding to the next occurrence in the meta data, and wherein the rendering comprises beginning playback of the television program at the determined location (column 3, lines 51-53; figure 2, part 202).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 19, Morrison discloses one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 16 (figure 4).

Claims 20, 21, 23-30, 32-37, 39, 41, 42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rangan.

Referring to claim 20, Rangan discloses a storage device (figure 12, part 131) to store a plurality of comments corresponding to media content (column 6, lines 6-12); and a comment handler, coupled to the storage device, to receive comments (column 22, lines 28-32) corresponding to the media content from a plurality of different sources and based on a plurality of different versions of the media content (column 5, lines 66-67; column 6, lines 45-47), store the received comments on the storage device, and make the stored plurality of comments available to devices rendering the media content (column 6, lines 6-16).

Referring to claim 21, Rangan discloses a system as recited in claim 20, wherein one of the plurality of different versions is a live version and another of the plurality of different versions is a recorded version, and wherein the plurality of comments include both comments to the live version and comments to the recorded version (column 5, lines 66-67).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 23, Rangan discloses a system as recited in claim 20, wherein each of the received comments includes: an identifier of the corresponding media content; an identifier of a location, within the media content (column 7, lines 14-18), that the comment corresponds to; and an identifier of the user that made the comment (column 6, lines 6-16).

Referring to claim 24, Rangan discloses a method comprising: allowing comments to be made by a plurality of viewers (column 6, lines 6-16; figure 8) of a plurality of different versions of a program (column 5, lines 66-67; column 6, lines 45-47); consolidating the comments (figure 8); and making the comments available to subsequent viewers of one of the plurality of different versions of the program or another version of the program (column 14, lines 52-54).

Referring to claim 25, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 24, wherein the consolidating comprises consolidating the comments at a centralized location (figure 8).

Referring to claim 26, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 24, wherein the plurality of different versions include one or more of: a version stored on magnetic tape, a version stored on an optical storage device, and a streaming multimedia content version (column 6, lines 45-47).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 27, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 24, further comprising: identifying a particular group that the comments correspond to; and making the comments available only to viewers that are associated with the particular group (column 6, lines 6-16).

Referring to claim 28, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 24 (column 6, lines 51-56).

Referring to claim 29, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors of a computer, causes the one or more processors to perform acts (column 6, lines 51-56) including: receiving multimedia content; storing the multimedia content locally (figure 12, part 133); receiving comments regarding the multimedia content; storing the comments locally (figure 12, part 131); and allowing the comments to be accessed during subsequent playback of the stored multimedia content (column 6, lines 6-16).

Referring to claim 30, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 29, wherein the receiving comprises receiving the multimedia content from a remote source (figure 7).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 32, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 29, further comprising allowing a plurality of users to access the stored multimedia content, and wherein the allowing comprises allowing the plurality of users to access the comments during playback of the stored multimedia content (column 6, lines 6-16; figure 7).

Referring to claim 33, Rangan discloses a method comprising: identifying a synchronization point in a multimedia program (column 11, lines 27-30), wherein the synchronization point occurs an amount of time after the beginning of the multimedia program (column 7, lines 19-25); and using the synchronization point as a common temporal reference point for the multimedia program (column 22, lines 28-32).

Referring to claim 34, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the using comprises using the synchronization point as a reference point for comments corresponding to the multimedia program (column 22, lines 28-32).

Referring to claim 35, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 33, further comprising identifying a reference point that indicates an offset from the synchronization point (column 22, lines 7-12).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 36, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the identifying comprises receiving an indication from a source of the multimedia program of the synchronization point (column 22, lines 7-12).

Referring to claim 37, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the synchronization point is received in a communication separate from the multimedia program (column 13, lines 45-48).

Referring to claim 39, Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the identifying comprises using, as the synchronization point, a particular frame of the multimedia program (column 22, lines 7-12).

Referring to claim 41, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 33 (column 6, lines 51-56).

Referring to claim 42, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors of a computer, causes the one or more processors to perform acts (column 6, lines 51-56) including: receiving an indication of media content from a client computing device (column 11, lines 27-30); identifying a location of the media content to use as a synchronization point for the media content (column 11, lines 27-30); and

Art Unit: 2623

indicating, to the client computing device, the synchronization point (column 22, lines 7-12).

Referring to claim 44, Rangan discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 42, further comprising identifying a reference point that identifies an offset from the synchronization point (column 22, lines 7-12).

Claims 45-48, 52-55, 57, 62-64, 66-69, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lowthert.

Referring to claim 45, Lowthert discloses a method comprising: identifying an amount of time that particular media content has been rendered on a device; and rendering one or more advertisements after the amount of time exceeds a threshold amount (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 46, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising preventing any more of the particular media content from being rendered until after the one or more advertisements has been rendered (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 47, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, wherein the particular media content is rendered on the device over a plurality of rendering sessions (paragraph 30).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 48, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising resetting the amount of time after the one or more advertisements has been rendered, and repeating both the identifying an amount of time and rendering one or more advertisements (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 52, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising accessing meta data corresponding to the particular media content to identify a preference point of where rendering of the media content should be stopped and the one or more advertisements rendered (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 53, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, wherein the particular media content comprises a television program (paragraph 4).

Referring to claim 54, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, wherein the particular media content comprises media content retrieved from a local storage device (paragraph 27).

Referring to claim 55, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising modifying the threshold amount based at least in part on the amount of a fee paid by a user of the device (paragraph 32).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 57, Lowthert discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising: receiving meta data corresponding to the particular media content; identifying one or more portions of the particular media content in response to user inputs; and rendering the identified one or more portions of the particular media content (paragraphs 25 and 30).

Referring to claim 62, Lowthert discloses one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 45 (figure 3).

Referring to claim 63, Lowthert discloses one or more computer-readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors of a computer, causes the one or more processors to perform acts including: playing back media content; checking whether an amount of time that the media content has been played back has elapsed; and playing back one or more advertisements after the amount of time has elapsed (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 64, Lowthert discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 63, wherein the media content is rendered on the computer over a plurality of rendering sessions (paragraph 30).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 66, Lowthert discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 63, further comprising accessing meta data corresponding to the particular media content to identify a preference point of where rendering of the media content should be stopped and the one or more advertisements rendered (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 67, Lowthert discloses a system comprising: one or more rendering components to render a program (figure 3, part 50); and an advertisement controller to monitor how long the program has been rendered since the last advertisement was rendered (figure 3, part 44), and to render one or more additional advertisements if the amount of time since the last advertisement was rendered exceeds a threshold amount (paragraph 30).

Referring to claim 68, Lowthert discloses a system as recited in claim 67, wherein the one or more rendering components comprise an audio rendering component to play audio content of the program and a video rendering component to play video content of the program (paragraph 6).

Referring to claim 69, Lowthert discloses a system as recited in claim 67, wherein the one or more rendering components render the program over a plurality of rendering sessions (paragraph 30).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 71, Lowthert discloses a system as recited in claim 67, further comprising accessing meta data corresponding to the program to identify a preference point of where rendering of the program should be stopped and the one or more additional advertisements rendered (paragraph 30).

Claims 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hejna.

Referring to claim 72, Hejna discloses a method comprising: rendering, by a device, a program (column 4, lines 33-36); and identifying one or more portions of the program that include commercials; disabling, while the one or more portions that include commercials are being rendered, a control of the device that allow one or more portions of the program to be skipped (column 37, lines 15-31).

Referring to claim 73, Hejna discloses a method as recited in claim 72, wherein the program comprises a television program (column 32, lines 18-25).

Referring to claim 74, Hejna discloses a method as recited in claim 72, wherein the control comprises a fast forward button (column 37, lines 15-31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2623

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison in view of Sumita.

Referring to claim 18, Morrison does not disclose a method as recited in claim 16, wherein the identifying comprises locating a plurality of occurrences of user input search criteria in the meta data and determining a plurality of portions of the television program corresponding to the occurrences, and wherein the rendering comprises rendering the plurality of portions.

Sumita discloses a method as recited in claim 16, wherein the identifying comprises locating a plurality of occurrences of user input search criteria in the meta data and determining a plurality of portions of the television program corresponding to the occurrences, and wherein the rendering comprises rendering the plurality of portions (column 4, line 43-47).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the program portion searching taught by Sumita to the system disclosed by Morrison. The motivation would have been to enable the user to find and watch only the portions that interested them, therefore making the system more enticing.

Claims 22 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan in view of Abrams.

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 22, Rangan does not disclose a system as recited in claim 21, wherein the comments to the live version comprise a live discussion of users viewing the live version.

Abrams discloses a system as recited in claim 21, wherein the comments to the live version comprise a live discussion of users viewing the live version (column 12, lines 25-29 and 33-35).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the live commenting taught by Abrams to the system disclosed by Rangan. The motivation would have been to enable comments to be made on unplanned events such as breaking news.

Referring to claim 31, Rangan does not disclose one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 29, wherein the receiving comprises receiving the multimedia content from a local video camera.

Abrams discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 29, wherein the receiving comprises receiving the multimedia content from a local video camera (figure 8).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the local camera taught by Abrams to the system disclosed by Rangan.

The motivation would have been to enable the system to have flexibility in its inputs.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan in view of Krewin.

Referring to claim 38, Rangan does not disclose a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the multimedia program is received from a local storage device and wherein the identifying comprises receiving the synchronization point from a remote location.

Krewin discloses a method as recited in claim 33, wherein the multimedia program is received from a local storage device and wherein the identifying comprises receiving the synchronization point from a remote location (paragraphs 81-84).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the local sync data taught by Krewin to the system disclosed by Rangan. The motivation would have been to enable the system to download commercials during periods of non-use, therefore saving bandwidth.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan in view of Swix.

Referring to claim 40, Rangan does not disclose a method as recited in claim 39, wherein the particular frame comprises a frame including a title screen of the multimedia program.

Swix discloses a method as recited in claim 39, wherein the particular frame comprises a frame including a title screen of the multimedia program (column 10, lines 37-40).

Art Unit: 2623

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the title syncing taught by Swix to the system disclosed by Rangan. The motivation would have been to insert the media in at point where the user would not be annoyed by its intrusion.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangan in view of Srinivasan.

Referring to claim 43, Rangan does not disclose one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 42, wherein the receiving an indication comprises receiving a request for the media content.

Srinivasan discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 42, wherein the receiving an indication comprises receiving a request for the media content (column 31, lines 58-64).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the on-demand media taught by Srinivasan to the system disclosed by Rangan. The motivation would have been to enable the user to view media on their schedule, making them more likely to use the system.

Claims 49, 50, 65, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Logan.

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 49, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the particular media content includes other advertisements.

Logan discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the particular media content includes other advertisements (column 13, lines 31-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the existing commercial credits taught by Logan to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to watch only the commercials that interest them.

Referring to claim 50, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 49, wherein the modifying comprises modifying the threshold amount only if the other advertisements have been rendered on the device.

Logan discloses a method as recited in claim 49, wherein the modifying comprises modifying the threshold amount only if the other advertisements have been rendered on the device (column 13, lines 31-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add forcing the user to watch commercials, as taught by Logan, to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to the system to be assured that the users were viewing some commercials.

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 65, Lowthert does not disclose one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 63, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the particular media content includes other advertisements.

Logan discloses one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 63, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the particular media content includes other advertisements (column 13, lines 31-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the existing commercial credits taught by Logan to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to watch only the commercials that interest them.

Referring to claim 70, Lowthert does not disclose a system as recited in claim 67, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the program includes other advertisements.

Logan discloses a system as recited in claim 67, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if the program includes other advertisements (column 13, lines 31-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the existing commercial credits taught by Logan to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to watch only the commercials that interest them.

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 51 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Hejna.

Referring to claim 51, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if a playback speed of the particular media content is altered.

Hejna discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising modifying the threshold amount if a playback speed of the particular media content is altered (column 37, lines 15-31).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the increased speed threshold adjustment taught by Hejna to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to view parts of the commercial while skipping it.

Referring to claim 61, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising accumulating points when advertisements are rendered, wherein the accumulated points can be subsequently redeemed for one or more of goods and services.

Hejna discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising accumulating points when advertisements are rendered, wherein the accumulated points can be subsequently redeemed for one or more of goods and services (column 37, lines 15-31).

Art Unit: 2623

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the points redemption taught by Hejna to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to only view the commercials that interested them.

Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Sumita in view of Bhagavath.

Referring to claim 56, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, wherein the media content includes a plurality of portions, including both one or more highlight portions and one or more non-highlight portions, and further comprising saving, to a storage device, only the one or more highlight portions.

Sumita discloses a method as recited in claim 45, wherein the media content includes a plurality of portions, including both one or more highlight portions and one or more non-highlight portions (column 4, lines 43-47).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the program portions taught by Sumita to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to enable the user to watch only the portions that interested them.

Lowthert and Sumita do not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising saving, to a storage device, only the one or more highlight portions.

Bhagavath discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising saving, to a storage device, only the one or more highlight portions (column 3, lines 20-21).

Art Unit: 2623

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the program portions recording taught by Bhagavath to the system disclosed by Lowthert and Sumita. The motivation would have been to enable the user to record programs for them to watch at their own accord.

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Rangan.

Referring to claim 58, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising: receiving comments, corresponding to the particular media content, from a content server, wherein the comments have been input by other users that the particular media content has been rendered to; and rendering the comments along with the particular media content.

Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising: receiving comments, corresponding to the particular media content, from a content server (figure 1), wherein the comments have been input by other users that the particular media content has been rendered to (column 6, lines 6-12); and rendering the comments along with the particular media content (column 22, lines 28-32).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the commenting taught by Rangan to the system disclosed by Lowthert.

The motivation would have been to allow for commercials to be broadcast to user that did not interrupt their viewing.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Abrams.

Referring to claim 59, Lowthert does not disclose a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising: receiving, from a user that the particular media content is being rendered to, a comment regarding the particular media content; and forwarding the comment to a remote comment server for storage.

Abrams discloses a method as recited in claim 45, further comprising: receiving, from a user that the particular media content is being rendered to, a comment regarding the particular media content; and forwarding the comment to a remote comment server for storage (column 12, lines 25-29; figure 4, part 30).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the remote comment storage taught by Abrams to the system disclosed by Lowthert. The motivation would have been to save the messages on the remote servers, which would have more storage than user's Set Top Boxes.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowthert in view of Abrams as applied to claim 59 above, and further in view of Rangan.

Referring to claim 60, Lowthert and Abrams do not disclose a method as recited in claim 59, further comprising: identifying a synchronization point in the particular media content, wherein the synchronization point occurs an amount of time after the beginning of the media content; and identifying a location of the media content that the comment corresponds to based on an offset from the synchronization point.

Art Unit: 2623

Rangan discloses a method as recited in claim 59, further comprising: identifying a synchronization point in the particular media content, wherein the synchronization point occurs an amount of time after the beginning of the media content (column 7, lines 19-25); and identifying a location of the media content that the comment corresponds to based on an offset from the synchronization point (column 22, lines 7-12).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the media sync taught by Rangan to the system disclosed by Lowthert and Abrams. The motivation would have been to allow the system to dictate when the message appeared to the user.

Claim 75 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hejna in view of Logan.

Referring to claim 75, Hejna does not disclose a method as recited in claim 72, wherein the control comprises a skip button.

Logan discloses a method as recited in claim 72, wherein the control comprises a skip button (column 13, lines 31-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add commercial skipping taught by Logan to the system disclosed by Hejna. The motivation would have been to enable the user to only watch the commercials that interested them.

Art Unit: 2623

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 24